To: Engelman, Alexa[ENGELMAN.ALEXA@EPA.GOV]

**Cc:** Moffatt, Brett[Moffatt.Brett@epa.gov]; Albright, David[Albright.David@epa.gov]

From: Dermer, Michele

**Sent:** Wed 4/6/2016 4:14:36 PM

Subject: RE: Center for Biological Diversity--letter re: Arroyo Grande Aquifer Exemption

## Ex. 5 - Attorney Client

From: Albright, David

Sent: Wednesday, April 06, 2016 9:01 AM

To: Engelman, Alexa < ENGELMAN.ALEXA@EPA.GOV>

Cc: Moffatt, Brett < Moffatt.Brett@epa.gov>; Dermer, Michele < Dermer.Michele@epa.gov> Subject: Re: Center for Biological Diversity--letter re: Arroyo Grande Aquifer Exemption

## Ex. 5 - Attorney Client

Sent from my iPhone

On Apr 5, 2016, at 8:14 PM, Engelman, Alexa < ENGELMAN.ALEXA@EPA.GOV > wrote:

## Ex. 5 - Attorney Client

~Alexa

From: Albright, David

**Sent:** Friday, March 25, 2016 5:18 PM

To: Engelman, Alexa < ENGELMAN.ALEXA@EPA.GOV >; Moffatt, Brett

< Moffatt. Brett@epa.gov>

Cc: Dermer, Michele < Dermer. Michele @epa.gov>; McWhirter, Lisa

<McWhirter.Lisa@epa.gov>

Subject: FW: Center for Biological Diversity--letter re: Arroyo Grande Aquifer Exemption

CBD just sharing the NRDC petition.....

From: Maya Golden-Krasner [mailto:MGoldenKrasner@biologicaldiversity.org]

**Sent:** Friday, March 25, 2016 4:32 PM

**To:** Maya Golden-Krasner < MGoldenKrasner@biologicaldiversity.org>; Albright, David < Albright.David@epa.gov>; Montgomery, Michael < Montgomery.Michael@epa.gov>; Ash Lauth < ALauth@biologicaldiversity.org>

Cc: Dermer, Michele < <u>Dermer.Michele@epa.gov</u>>; Robin, George

<<u>Robin.George@epa.gov</u>>; Kobelski, Bruce <<u>Kobelski.Bruce@epa.gov</u>>; Grevatt, Peter <<u>Grevatt.Peter@epa.gov</u>>; Beauvais, Joel <<u>Beauvais.Joel@epa.gov</u>>

Subject: RE: Center for Biological Diversity--letter re: Arroyo Grande Aquifer Exemption

Dear Mr. Albright and Mr. Montgomery,

I write to draw your attention to the March 23, 2016 petition filed by the Natural Resources Defense Council and other environmental groups to US EPA (attached). The petition argues that the aquifer exemption regulations and process violate the Safe Drinking Water Act, and must therefore either be repealed or amended. The petition details the many reasons this program is failing, which include many of the same reasons we are requesting that EPA deny the Arroyo Grande aquifer exemption. These include, among others:

• □ □ □ □ □ □ Arbitrary aquifer exemption boundaries;
• □ □ □ □ □ □ Evidence that people are using the aquifers for drinking water;
• • • • Evidence that pollution has migrated from exempt aquifers to surrounding groundwater;
• • • • • Need for groundwater modeling—which is a "known science" that has been around for decades; and,
• □ □ □ □ □ □ Water purification technologies have improved since 1980, and water that was previously thought "undrinkable" is now potentially drinkable.

I urge you to read this petition in light of our comments on the AGOF. We believe that these demonstrate the need to reject the Arroyo Grande aquifer exemption request. Please don't hesitate to contact me with any questions.

Best, Maya Maya Golden-Krasner

Climate Staff Attorney

CENTER for BIOLOGICAL DIVERSITY

Tel: 213-215-3729

mgoldenkrasner@biologicaldiversity.org

www.BiologicalDiversity.org



The information contained in this email message may be privileged, confidential and protected from disclosure.

If you are not the intended recipient, any dissemination, distribution or copying is strictly prohibited.

If you think that you have received this email message in error, please notify the sender by reply email and

delete the message and any attachments.